		Application No.	on No. Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		10/687,062	/687,062 DELPRETE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art l	Jnit	
		Tho D. Ta	2833	<u></u>	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on: <u>Allowed</u>	•	
(1) <u>Tho D. Ta</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Robert Kapalka</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>10 May 2004</u>		Time: <u>AM</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant	☐ Applic	ant's representative)		# # * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	⊠ No				
Part I.				· , ·,	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
	•				
Claims discussed: 1-17	,				
Prior art documents discussed: US 6,709,277					
Part II.		<u> </u>		the second second second	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING See Continuation Sheet	THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISC	CUSSED:	
Daw III					
Part III.	•				41
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the app of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brown to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. 	lication. TI a separate	ne examiner will provide record of the substance	e a written sui	mmary of t view, since	he substance
					**
and the second second second		•		•	
		::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			
Thodarta	· .	· .			•
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	nt/Applicant's Represen	tative Signatu	re - if appi	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Attorney to propose adding the recitation "non-conductive stops" to the independent claims 1 and 16 in order to define over the prior art. Attorney also proposed the amendments to the specification and the claims in order to correct minor informalities and to place the the claims in better form.